

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,096	02/27/2004	Niranjan Patel	2004-310	7680	
Mr. Aziz M. A	7590 06/29/201 shsan	EXAMINER			
P.O. Box 251			HINZE, LEO T		
Hopewell June	etion, NY 12533		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2854		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/29/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	10/789,096	PATEL, NIRANJAN	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LEO T. HINZE	2854	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ldress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off	fice letter mailed on	\ which is after the	evairation of the

1.	 ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 				
	(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the				
	period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on				
	(b) 🗆 A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).					
	(c) \square A reply was received on that it does not constitute a proper reply or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply to the non-				

- (c) A reply was received on _____ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
- (d) No reply has been received.

2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required	issue fee and publication fee,	, if applicable, within the stat	tutory period of three months
from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowa	nce (PTOL-85).		

- (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-65).
- (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$_____ is insufficient. A balance of \$_____ is due.

 The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$
- (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
- Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
- (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
- (b) No corrected drawings have been received.
- 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
- The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
- 6. \(\subseteq \) The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on <u>19 April 2010</u> and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
- 7. The reason(s) below:

On 6/25/2010, the examiner confirmed with Aziz Ashan, no. 32,100, that no request for court review of the decision or rehearing by the board of the decision rendered on 4/19/2010 has been filed.

/Judy Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2854

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office